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Abstract

The theory of higher weights is applied to binary self-dual codes. Bounds are given for the second minimum higher weight and a Gleason type theorem is derived for the second higher weight enumerator. The second weight enumerator is shown to be unique for the putative [72,36,16] Type II code and the first three minimum weights are computed for optimal codes of length less than 32. We also determine the structures of the graded rings associated with the code polynomials of higher weights for small genera, one of which has the property that it is not Cohen-Macaulay.
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1 Introduction

A binary code of length $n$ is a subset of $F_2^n$ and a code is linear if it is a subspace. To this ambient space we attach the standard inner product: $[v, w] = \sum v_i w_i$, and for a code $C$ define $C^\perp = \{v \in F_2^n \ | \ [v, w] = 0 \ \forall w \in C\}$. As usual, if $C \subseteq C^\perp$ we say that $C$ is self-orthogonal, and if $C = C^\perp$ then $C$ is self-dual. For a complete description of the theory of self-dual codes and any undefined terms see [8].

We shall describe the notion of higher weights, introduced by Wei [12], which is a generalization of Hamming weight. We shall follow the notation in [11], see this paper for a complete description of higher weights. Let $D \subseteq F_2^n$ be a linear subspace, then

\begin{equation}
||D|| = |\text{Supp}(D)|,
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
\text{Supp}(D) = \{i \ | \ \exists v \in D, \ v_i \neq 0\}.
\end{equation}

For a linear code $C$ define

\begin{equation}
d_r = d_r(C) = \min\{||D|| \ | \ D \subseteq C, \ \dim(D) = r\}.
\end{equation}

Notice that the minimum Hamming weight of a code $C$ is $d_1(C)$. It also follows that $d_i \leq d_j$ when $i \leq j$ and that $d_k = |\text{supp}(C)|$ where $k$ is the dimension of the code. In fact, it can be shown (Proposition 3.1 in [11]), that $d_i < d_j$ when $i < j$. For a self-dual code $d_k = n$ since the all one vector is always present.

The higher weight spectrum is defined as

\begin{equation}
A^r_i = A^r_i(C) = |\{D \subseteq C \ | \ \dim(D) = r, \ ||D|| = i\}|.
\end{equation}

This naturally allows us to define the higher weight enumerators

\begin{equation}
W^r(C; y) = W^r(C) = \sum A^r_i y^i.
\end{equation}
Hence for each $r \leq \dim(C)$ we have a weight enumerator. Note that $W^1(C; y)$ is not the Hamming weight enumerator $H_C(y) = \sum \alpha_i y^i$ where there are $\alpha_i$ vectors of Hamming weight $i$ in $C$, but rather $W^1(C; y) = H_C(y) - 1$, since the zero vector is not represented.

This weight enumerator can also be written as a homogeneous polynomial:

$$W^r(C; x, y) := \sum_{D \subseteq C, \dim D = r} x^{n-\|D\|} y^{\|D\|} = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i^r(C) x^{n-i} y^i.$$

It is immediate that if $C$ is a code with dimension $k$ over $\mathbb{F}_2$ then $W^r(C; 1) = \begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix}$, where $\begin{bmatrix} k \\ r \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(2^k-1)(2^k-2)\cdots(2^k-2^{r-1})}{(2^r-1)(2^r-2)\cdots(2^r-2^{r-1})}$, which is the number of subspaces of dimension $r$ in a $k$-dimensional space.

Note that simply because two codes have identical Hamming weight enumerators does not imply that the codes have identical $W^r(C; y)$ weight enumerators for all $r$. We shall drop the $y$ from the notation whenever no confusion will arise.

There exists MacWilliams type identities for the higher weights, see [5], [11]. The MacWilliams relations are given in [11], namely

$$\sum_{r=0}^s [s]_r W^r(C^\perp; y) = q^{-sk}(1 + (q^s - 1)y)^n \sum_{r=0}^s W^r(C; \frac{1-y}{1 + (q^s - 1)y})$$

(6)

where the code has dimension $k$ in $\mathbb{F}_q^n$, and $[s]_r = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1}(q^s - q^j)$. Note that to find $W^s(C^\perp)$ it is necessary to use $W^r(C, y)$ for all $r$, with $0 \leq r \leq s$. We shall discuss MacWilliams relations in Section 5.

**Example 1:** Let $C$ be the $[8, 4, 4]$ Hamming code. Then we have

\[
W^0(C) = 1 \quad W^1(C) = 14y^4 + y^8 \\
W^2(C) = 28y^6 + 7y^8 \quad W^3(C) = 8y^7 + 7y^8 \quad W^4(C) = y^8
\]

Note that $W^1(C; 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 15$, $W^2(C; 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 35$, and $W^3(C; 1) = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 15$.

2. **Binary Self-Dual Codes**

We notice that for the binary case any two-dimensional subspace generated by $v$ and $w$ consists of $\{v, w, 0, v + w\}$. This simple fact will be used in proving the next few theorems. We also note the following

$$\text{Supp}(\langle v, w \rangle) = |v| + |w| - |v \wedge w|,$$ (7)
where \( |v \land w| = |\text{Supp}(v) \cap \text{Supp}(w)| \). In addition, \( |v + w| = |v| + |w| - 2|v \land w| \).

**Theorem 2.1** Let \( C \) be a self-orthogonal code with \( W^2(C; y) = \sum A_i y^i \). If \( i \) is odd then \( A_i = 0 \).

**Proof.** Let a two-dimensional subspace be generated by \( v \) and \( w \). Since \( C \) is self-orthogonal we have that \( |v| \) and \( |w| \) are \( 0 \mod 2 \), and \( |v, w| = 0 \) implying that \( |v \land w| \) is \( 0 \mod 2 \). Hence \( \text{Supp}(\langle v, w \rangle) = |v| + |w| - |v \land w| \) is even. \( \square \)

This is not true when \( r > 2 \), see Example 1.

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( C \) be a self-orthogonal code. If \( d_1 \equiv 0 \mod 4 \) then \( d_2 \geq \frac{3}{2} d_1 \) and if \( d_1 \equiv 2 \mod 4 \) then \( d_2 > \frac{3}{2} d_1 \).

**Proof.** We shall split the proof into two cases.

**Case 1:** \( |v \land w| \leq \frac{1}{2} d_1 \)

Then we have
\[
|v| + |w| - |v \land w| \geq d_1 + d_1 - \frac{1}{2} d_1 \geq \frac{3}{2} d_1.
\]

**Case 2:** \( |v \land w| > \frac{1}{2} d_1 \)

Assume for some \( v, w \) we have \( |\text{Supp}(\langle v, w \rangle)| < \frac{3}{2} d_1 \). Then since \( v + w \) is a vector in \( C \) we have
\[
|v| + |w| - 2|v \land w| \geq d_1,
\]
and since the support is less than \( |\text{Supp}(\langle v, w \rangle)| < \frac{3}{2} d_1 \), then
\[
|v| + |w| - |v \land w| < \frac{3}{2} d_1.
\]

Inequality (8) gives \( |v| + |w| \geq d_1 + 2|v \land w| \), and placing into (9) gives
\[
d_1 + |v \land w| \leq d_1 + 2|v \land w| - |v \land w| \leq |v| + |w| - |v \land w| < \frac{3}{2} d_1,
\]
so that \( d_1 + |v \land w| < \frac{3}{2} d_1 \) and finally \( |v \land w| < \frac{1}{2} d_1 \). This contradicts our assumption that \( |v \land w| > \frac{1}{2} d_1 \).

If \( d_1 \equiv 2 \mod 4 \) then \( \frac{3}{2} d_1 \equiv 1 \mod 2 \) and then by Theorem 2.1 the coefficient of \( y^{\frac{3}{2} d_1} \) is 0. \( \square \)

**Proposition 2.3** Let \( C \) be a code, if \( A_{d_1} > 1 \), where \( A_{d_1} \) is the number of minimum weight vectors, then \( d_2 \leq 2d_1 \). If \( A_{d_1} = 1 \) then \( d_2 \leq d_1 + d'_1 \) where \( d'_1 \) is the second smallest non-zero Hamming weight in \( C \).
Proof. If there are at least two vectors with minimum weight in $C$, then the two-dimensional subcode generated by these two vectors has support less than or equal to $2d_1$. The second statement follows similarly by taking the unique minimum weight vector with a vector of the second smallest weight. \qed

Tables 3 and 4 give $d_2$ and $d_3$ for all binary self-dual codes with $n \leq 12$, and all optimal self-dual codes with $n \leq 32$. Note that the code $e_8 i_2$ has $d_1 = 2$ and $d_2 = 6$ which is higher than the bound $d_2 \leq 2d_1$ guarantees, so a self-dual code exists which exceeds the bound. Constructions for these binary self-dual codes can be found in [[8], Chapter 4] and the references therein.

3 Shadows

We shall apply higher weights to the shadow codes. Let $C$ be a Type I self-dual code, with $C_0$ the subcode of doubly-even vectors, and set $C_2 = C - C_0$. Define the shadow to be $S := C_0^ot - C$, and denote by $C_1$ and $C_3$ the cosets of $C_0$ that comprise $S$. Hence, $C_0^ot = C_0 \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$ with $C = C_0 \cup C_2$ and $S = C_1 \cup C_3$. See [1] for a complete description.

Define $\Sigma_r(C; y)$ as follows
\begin{equation}
\Sigma_r(C; y) := W_r(C_0^ot; y) - W_r(C; y).
\end{equation}
Notice that $\Sigma_r(C; y)$ counts subcodes of dimension $r$ of $C_0^ot$ that are not subcodes of $C$. As such this polynomial must have coefficients that are non-negative integers.

Recall that $S = C + s$ where $s$ is some vector in $C_0^ot$ not in $C$. Then $\Sigma_r(C; y)$ counts the number of subcodes of the form
\begin{equation}
\langle v_1 + \alpha_1 s, v_2 + \alpha_2 s, \ldots, v_k + \alpha_k s \rangle,
\end{equation}
where $v_i \in C$, $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and at least one $\alpha_i$ is not 0.

For a code $C$ to exist $W_r(C; y)$ and $\Sigma_r(C; y)$ must have non-negative integral coefficients for all $r$ with $0 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{2}$. In particular, note that if $C$ is a self-dual code with shadow $S$, then $\Sigma_1(C; y) = H_S(y)$. Hence, the weight enumerator $\Sigma_r(C; y)$ is a generalization of the weight enumerator of the shadow.

Example 2: Consider the self-dual code $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. (See [2] or [8] and the references therein for any undefined notation.) This code has $W^2(C; y) = 3y^4 + 4y^6$, $W^2(C_0; y) = y^6$, and $W^2(C_0^ot; y) = 15y^4 + 12y^5 + 8y^6$, so $\Sigma^2(C; y) = 12y^4 + 12y^5 + 4y^6$. \pagebreak
3.1 Cosets

In general, let $E$ be a coset of $C$ in $C'$, i.e., $E = C + t$ for some vector $t$. Then we can define

$$W^r(E, C; y) = \sum A_i y^i,$$

where $A_i$ is the number of subcodes $D$ of the form

$$D = \langle v_1 + \alpha_1 t, v_2 + \alpha_2 t, \ldots, v_k + \alpha_k t \rangle,$$

with $D \subseteq E$, $\dim(D) = r$, and $||D|| = i$, where at least one $\alpha_i \neq 0$, $E = (C + t)$ and the $v_i$ are in $C$. Namely it counts the higher weights of the subcodes of $C'$ that are contained in $E$ but not contained in $C$. Hence

$$\Sigma^r(C; y) = W^r(S, C; y) \quad \text{and} \quad W^r(C; y) = W^r(C_0; y) + W^r(C_2, C_0; y).$$

**Theorem 3.1** Let $C$ be an $[n, k, d]$ code with $E$ a coset of $C$, then

$$W^r(E, C, 1) = \frac{2^{k+1} - 2^{k-r+1}}{2^{k-r+1} - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ r \end{array} \right].$$

**Proof.** We have that

$$W^r(E, C, 1) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} k+1 \\ r \end{array} \right] - \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ r \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (2^{k+1} - 2i) - \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (2^k - 2i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (2^k - 2i)}.$$  

The numerator becomes

$$\begin{align*}
(2^{k+1} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} (2^{k+1} - 2i) - \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (2^k - 2i) \\
= (2^{k+1} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} 2(2^k - 2i) - (2^k - 2r-1) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i) \\
= (2^{k+1} - 1)2r-1 \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} (2^k - 2i) - (2^k - 2r-1) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i) \\
= ((2^{k+1} - 1)2r-1 - 2^k + 2r-1) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i) = (2^k + r - 2^k) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i). \\
\end{align*}$$

Then the quotient becomes

$$\frac{2^k(2^r - 1) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i)}{(2^r-1) \prod_{i=0}^{r-2} (2^k - 2i)} = \frac{2^k(2^r - 1)}{2^k - 2^r - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ r \end{array} \right] = \frac{2^{k+1} - 2^{k-r+1}}{2^{k-r+1} - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ r \end{array} \right].$$

$\square$

Note that for $r = 1$ this becomes

$$\frac{2^k}{2^k - 1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ r \end{array} \right] = \frac{2^k(2^k - 1)}{2^k - 1} = 2^k = \left[ \begin{array}{c} k \\ r \end{array} \right] + 1,$$

as expected.
4 Biweight Enumerators and Higher Weights

The MacWilliams relations (6) do not allow for a straightforward application of invariant theory, since $W^r(C^\perp, y)$ is not obtained by a group action on $W^r(C; y)$, but rather involves $W^0(C; y), W^1(C; y), \ldots, W^r(C; y)$. We shall use the biweight enumerator to produce a Gleason type theorem for the second higher weight. We begin with some definitions.

If $A$ and $B$ are binary codes, of length $n$, with $v \in A$ and $w \in B$ define

$$i(v, w) = \text{ the number of } r \text{ with } v_r = 0 \text{ and } w_r = 0,$$

$$j(v, w) = \text{ the number of } r \text{ with } v_r = 0 \text{ and } w_r = 1,$$

$$k(v, w) = \text{ the number of } r \text{ with } v_r = 1 \text{ and } w_r = 0,$$

$$l(v, w) = \text{ the number of } r \text{ with } v_r = 1 \text{ and } w_r = 1.$$

The *joint weight enumerator* of the codes $A$ and $B$ is given by

$$J_{A, B}(a, b, c, d) = \sum_{v \in A} \sum_{w \in B} a^{i(v, w)} b^{j(v, w)} c^{k(v, w)} d^{l(v, w)}.$$

If $A = B$ then the weight enumerator $J_{A, A}$ is called the *biweight enumerator* of $A$.

**Theorem 4.1** Let $C$ be a binary code then

$$W^2(C; y) = \frac{1}{6} (J_{C, C}(1, 1, y, y) - J_{C, C}(1, 0, 0, y) - J_{C, C}(1, 0, y, 0) - J_{C, C}(1, y, 0, 0) + 2).$$

**Proof.** Let $v, w$ be any two linearly independent vectors then

$$|\text{Supp } < v, w > | = j(v, w) + k(v, w) + l(v, w).$$

The biweight enumerator counts all pairs $v, w$, including $\{v, v\}, \{0, v\}$ and $\{v, 0\}$, none of which generate a two-dimensional subcode. We have that $J_{C, C}(1, 0, 0, y)$ counts pairs of the form $\{v, v\}$, $J_{C, C}(1, 0, y, 0)$ counts pairs of the form $\{v, 0\}$, and $J_{C, C}(1, y, 0, 0)$ counts pairs of the form $\{0, v\}$. The 2 at the end of the sum accounts for the number of times $\{0, 0\}$ is counted.

Each space $\{0, v, w, v + w\}$ is counted $P(3, 2) = 6$ times in the biweight enumerator, accounting for the $\frac{1}{6}$. \hfill \square

Note that

$$\frac{1}{6} (J_{C, C}(1, 1, 1, 1) - J_{C, C}(1, 0, 0, 1) - J_{C, C}(1, 0, 1, 0) - J_{C, C}(1, 1, 0, 0) + 2) =$$

$$\frac{1}{6} (2^{2k} - 3(2^k) + 2) = \left[ \frac{k}{2} \right].$$

7
This relationship is useful to produce Gleason type theorems for the second higher weight enumerator. In Section 5 another relationship between the generalized joint weight enumerator and the higher weights is introduced.

**Example 3.** The biweight enumerator of the $[8, 4, 4]$ extended Hamming code is

$$J_{C,C}(a, b, c, d) = d^8 + 14 c^4 d^4 + c^8 + 14 d^4 b^4 + 14 c^4 b^4 + b^8 +$$
$$168 c^2 d^2 a^2 b^2 + 14 a^4 d^4 + 14 c^4 a^4 + 14 a^4 b^4 + a^8.$$

It is a simple calculation to see that

$$\frac{1}{6}(J_{C,C}(1, y, y, y) - J_{C,C}(1, 0, 0, y) - J_{C,C}(1, 0, y, 0) - J_{C,C}(1, y, 0, 0) + 2)$$
$$= 7y^8 + 28y^6 = W^2(C; y).$$

In [6] and [4] Gleason theorems for Type I and Type II codes were given. We state the result in the next lemma, the result in Theorem 4.1 in [4], and the polynomials can be found there.

**Lemma 4.2** Let $S$ be a self-dual linear code. If $S$ is Type I its biweight enumerator is an element of

$$R_1 = \mathbb{C}[A, C, B^2, D^2] \oplus BDC[A, C, B^2, D^2].$$

If $S$ is Type II its biweight enumerator is an element of

$$R_2 = \mathbb{C}[P_8, P_{12}^2, P_{24}, P_{20}^2] \oplus P_{12}P_{20}\mathbb{C}[P_8, P_{12}^2, P_{24}, P_{20}^2].$$

**Theorem 4.3** Let $C$ be a self-dual code. Then $W^2(C, y)$ is of the form

$$\frac{1}{6}(J(1, y, y, y) + J(1, 0, 0, y) + J(1, 0, y, 0) + J(1, y, 0, 0) + 2),$$

where $J$ is an element of $R_1$ if the code is Type I and $J$ is an element of $R_2$ if the code is Type II.

Using this theorem, it is easy to compute the possible $W^2(C, y)$ where $C$ is a Type II code of length 72 with minimum weight 16. In fact there is a unique weight enumerator, given that $J(1, 0, 0, y)$ must be the unique Hamming weight enumerator for such a code. This weight enumerator is given in Table 1. There is also a unique $W^2(C, y)$ for a Type II code of length 48 with minimum weight 12, and this is given in Table 2. Note that $d_2 = \frac{3}{2}d_1$ for these codes.

The results in Table 3 were generated from the codes of the binary self-dual codes via a C program which enumerates all subcodes. The accuracy of the program was confirmed by hand solutions and the method given in Section 5.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>coefficient of $y^i$</th>
<th>weight $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>961901865</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430985552</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11931289140</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2379079500804</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37327999503964</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>466987648992480</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>468777240063412</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3781023590792240</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2477770827466670</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129600323038260672</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5251816300965277320</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17262594429823645056</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44763903539891540</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90768836016453484224</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1423138711329291744</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17069644965123700080</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15296078300409784007</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>933499325337567200</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4597040154169517364</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14440224667418398400</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290692479151272475</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3488996839405600</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201978236904749</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451368581939249</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1617151566337</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The Second Higher Weight Enumerator for a Type II $[72, 36, 16]$ Code

5 Joint Weight Enumerators and the MacWilliams Relations

We generalize the joint weight enumerator:

$$J_C^g(x, a \in \mathbb{F}_2) := \sum_{v_1, \ldots, v_g \in C} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^g} x_a^{n_a(v_1, \ldots, v_g)},$$

where $n_a(v_1, \ldots, v_g)$ denotes the number of $i$ such that $a = (v_1, \ldots, v_g)$.

We shall now produce a fundamental relationship between the joint weight enumerator and the higher weight enumerator.

For $r \leq g$, put

$$\mathcal{A}_r(C) = \#\{v_1, \ldots, v_g \in C^g : \dim\langle v_1, \ldots, v_g \rangle = r, \ n_a(v_1, \ldots, v_g) = e_a, \ \forall a \in \mathbb{F}_2^g\},$$

where $e = (e_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_2^g)$. Fixing $e_0$, we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^r \mathcal{A}_r(C) = \#\{v_1, \ldots, v_g \in C^g : \dim\langle v_1, \ldots, v_g \rangle = r, \ \|\langle v_1, \ldots, v_g \rangle\| = n - e_0\}$$

$$= f(g, r) A_{n-e_0}(C),$$
where $\sum'$ denotes the summation over $e_a$ such that

$$\sum' e_a = n - e_0, \ 0 \leq e_a \leq n - e_0 (\forall a, \ a \neq 0).$$

$f(g, r)$ is the number of ordered $g$-elements which span the $r$-dimensional subspace of the fixed $r$-dimensional subspace in a $g$-dimensional space, that is

$$f(g, r) = \# \{(v_1, \ldots, v_g) \in D^g : \dim \langle v_1, \ldots, v_g \rangle = r\},$$

where $D$ is a fixed $r$-dimensional subspace in $\mathbb{F}_2^g$. Note that $f(g, r)$ is independent of the choice of the $r$-dimensional subspace $D$. Put

$$[g]_r = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } r = 0, \\
(2^g - 1)(2^g - 2)\cdots(2^g - 2^{r-1}) & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}$$

The number $[g]_r$ is known as the number of ordered linear independent $r$-elements in the $g$-dimensional $\mathbb{F}_2$-space. We observe that $f(g, r) = [g]_r$, by induction on $g$ and $r$, that is

(i) we prove $f(g, 0) = [g]_0$, for all $g$ with $0 \leq g \leq k$,

(ii) assuming $f(g - 1, r) = [g - 1]_r$ and $f(g - 1, r - 1) = [g - 1]_{r-1}$, we prove $f(g, r) = [g]_r$.

(i) is obvious. Before proving (ii), we claim the following recurrence

$$f(g, r) = 2^r f(g - 1, r) + (2^r - 1)2^{r-1} f(g - 1, r - 1),$$

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline
coefficient of $y^i$ & weight $i$ \\
\hline
2663564 & 18 \\
64211400 & 20 \\
1030807008 & 22 \\
1080365340 & 24 \\
8224196120 & 26 \\
4537689760 & 28 \\
178224008160 & 30 \\
494716777905 & 32 \\
9527550547680 & 34 \\
12381654787320 & 36 \\
10464210615616 & 38 \\
542928694380 & 40 \\
1589848008672 & 42 \\
227081475720 & 44 \\
11795491488 & 46 \\
99273682 & 48 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
for \( r < g \). Indeed, for a fixed \( r \)-dimensional subspace \( D \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta(g, r) &= \#\{(v_1, \ldots, v_g) \in D^g \mid \text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_g) = r\} \\
&= \#\{(v_1, \ldots, v_g) \in D^g \mid \text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_g) = r, \text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_{g-1}) = r - 1\} \\
&+ \#\{(v_1, \ldots, v_g) \in D^g \mid \text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_g) = r, \text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_{g-1}) = r - 1\} \\
&= 2^r \cdot f(g - 1, r) + \frac{(2^r - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)}{(2^r - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)} \cdot (2^r - 2r - 1) \cdot f(g - 1, r - 1) \\
&= 2^r f(g - 1, r) + (2^r - 1)(2^r - 1)f(g - 1, r - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Then we can prove the latter part of the induction, that is

\[
\begin{align*}
\beta(g, r) &= 2^r \beta(g - 1, r) + (2^r - 1)(2^r - 1)f(g - 1, r - 1) \\
&= 2^r [g - 1, r] + (2^r - 1)(2^r - 1)[g - 1, r - 1] \\
&= 2^r (2^r - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1) \\
&+ (2^r - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1)(2^r - 2 - 1) \\
&= (2^r - 2) \cdots (2^r - 2)(2^r - 2) + (2^r - 1)(2^r - 2) \cdots (2^r - 2r - 2)(2^r - 2r - 2) \\
&= (2^r - 2)(2^r - 2)(2^r - 1) \\
&= [g, r].
\end{align*}
\]

Thus the induction is complete.

Therefore we have

\[
\sum' A^r(C) = [g, r] A^r_{n - c_0}(C).
\]

Finally we give a relation between \( J^g_C \) and \( W^r_C \)'s.

**Theorem 5.1** For \( C \) a code over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \), we get

\[
J^g_C(x_0 = x, x_a = y(a \neq 0)) = \sum_{r=0}^{g} [g, r] W^r_C(x, y).
\]

**Proof.** We have

\[
J^g_C(x_a, a \in \mathbb{F}_2^g) := \sum_{r=0}^{g} \sum_{\text{dim}(v_1, \ldots, v_g) = r} \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^g} x_a n_a(v_1, \ldots, v_g)
\]

\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{g} \sum_{\alpha_0 = 0}^{n} \left( \sum' A^r(C) \prod_{a \neq 0} x_a^{c_a} \right) x_0^{\alpha_0}.
\]
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Putting $x_0 = x$, $x_a = y$ ($a 
eq 0$), we have
\[
J_C^g(x_0 = x, x_a = y(a 
eq 0)) = \sum_{r=0}^{g} \sum_{c_0=0}^{n} \left( \sum_{r} A_{c_0}^r(C) y^{r-c_0} \right) x^{c_0}
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{g} \sum_{c_0=0}^{n} [g]_r A_{n-c_0}^r(C) y^{-c_0} x^{c_0}
\]

Then we put $c_0 \mapsto n - i$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and we have
\[
J_C^g(x_0 = x, x_a = y(a 
eq 0)) = \sum_{r=0}^{g} \sum_{i=0}^{n} [g]_r A_{n-i}^r(C) x^{n-i} y^{i}
\]
\[
= \sum_{r=0}^{g} [g]_r W_C^r(x, y).
\]

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

**Example 4:** We give examples for the binary case. In the following list, we omit $C, x, y$. $W^0$ is always $x^n$, where $n$ denotes the length of the code $C$.

- $J^1 = W^0 + W^1$,
- $J^2 = W^0 + 3W^1 + 6W^2$,
- $J^3 = W^0 + 7W^1 + 42W^2 + 168W^3$,
- $J^4 = W^0 + 15W^1 + 210W^2 + 2520W^3 + 20160W^4$.

The joint weight enumerator $J_C^g(x_a)$ has the MacWilliams identity (see [8]), that is
\[
J_C^g(x_a) = \frac{1}{|C|^g} J_C^g \left( \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{a \cdot b} x_b \right).
\]

This leads to the MacWilliams identity for $W_C^r$ (see Theorem 1 in [5]):

**Corollary 5.2 (MacWilliams Relations)** Let $C$ be a code over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then we get
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{g} [g]_r W_C^r(x, y) = \frac{1}{|C|^g} \sum_{r=0}^{g} [g]_r W_C^r(x + (2^g - 1)y, x - y).
\]

**Corollary 5.3** Let $C, D$ be codes over $\mathbb{F}_2$. Then we get
\[
\sum_{r=0}^{g} [g]_r W_C \oplus D^r(x, y) = \sum_{0 \leq r, r' \leq g} [g]_r [g]_{r'} W^r(C; x, y) W_{D'}^{r'}(x, y).
\]

**Proof.** This follows from the identity $J_{C \oplus D}^g = J_C^g J_D^g$. $\square$
5.1 A Gleason-type Theorem

In this subsection, we shall use the previous results to produce a Gleason-type theorem for binary self-dual codes.

Let $C$ be a self-dual Type I code and $W^{r}(C; x, y)$ its symmetric higher weight enumerator. Consider the polynomial $J^{t}(x, y)$, the genus $t$ joint weight enumerator. The polynomial $J^{t}(x, y)$ is held invariant by the action of the MacWilliams relations given by the matrix

$$M_t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2^t - 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

It is also held invariant by the matrix

$$-I = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

because the length of the code must be even.

These two matrices generate the following group: $G_t = \{I, M_t, -M_t, -I\}$. For all $t$ the Molien series is given by

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda^2 - 1)^2} = 1 + 2\lambda^2 + 3\lambda^4 + 4\lambda^6 + \ldots$$

It is easy to find the invariants, giving the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4** Let $C$ be a Type I binary code, then

$$J^{t}(x, y) = \sum_{r \leq t} [t], W^{r}(C; x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[x^2 + (2^t - 1)y^2, x^2 + (2^t - 1)xy].$$

Note that the only assumption is that the code is formally self-dual with respect to the genus $t$ weight enumerator and that the length of the code is even. Thus any code equivalent to its dual has a weight enumerator of the form given in the previous theorem.

If the code is Type II then the length must be a multiple of 8 and we have that $J^{t}$ is also held invariant by the matrix $\omega I$, where $\omega^8 = 1$.

Let $G_{II,t} = \langle G_t, \omega I \rangle$. Then $G_{II,t}$ has order 16 and the Molien series is simply a subseries of the Molien series given above where the only terms with non-zero coefficients are those with exponents congruent to 0 (mod 8). Moreover, the weight enumerator of a Type II code is an element of the ring given in Theorem 5.4 with the restriction that the length of the code is 0 (mod 8).

Using the Gleason theorem given above together with the equation in Theorem 5.1, it is a simple calculation to determine all of the higher weight enumerators for the [24, 12, 8] Type II Golay code, which are given in Tables 5, 6 and 7. It is then easy to compute the genus 12 weight enumerator, and this is given in Table 8.
6 Graded Rings

We consider the graded ring
\[ \mathfrak{M}(g) = \mathbb{C}[W^r(C; x, y) : 0 \leq r \leq g], \]
with \( C \) a Type II code, and denote the vector space of \( \mathfrak{M}(g) \) of degree \( \ell \) by \( \mathfrak{M}_{\ell}(g) \)
\[ \mathfrak{M}(g) = \oplus_{\ell \geq 0, \ell \equiv 0 \mod 8} \mathfrak{M}_{\ell}(g). \]

We put
\[
\begin{align*}
I_0 &= W_{e_8}^0 = x^8, \\
I_1 &= W_{e_8}^1 = 14x^4y^4 + y^8, \\
I_2 &= W_{g_{24}}^1 = 759x^{16}y^8 + 2576x^{12}y^{12} + 759x^8y^{16} + y^{24},
\end{align*}
\]
where \( e_8 \) and \( g_{24} \) denote the \([8,4,4]\) extended Hamming code and the \([24,12,8]\) extended Golay code, respectively.

6.1 The graded ring for \( g = 0 \) and 1

**Theorem 6.1**

(i) \( \mathfrak{M}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[I_0] \).

(ii) \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) are algebraically independent and \( \mathfrak{M}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1]I_2 \).

**Proof.** (i) is obvious and we prove (ii). First we show that \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) are algebraically independent. Otherwise we have
\[
\sum_{8i+8j=\ell} \alpha_{ij} I_0^i I_1^j = 0,
\]
for some \( \alpha_{ij} \)'s and some positive integer \( \ell \). Dividing both sides by some appropriate power of \( I_0 \), we can assume that \( \alpha_{0,\ell/8} \neq 0 \). Considering the coefficient of \( y^\ell \), we have \( \alpha_{0,\ell/8} = 0 \), which contradicts the assumption \( \alpha_{0,\ell/8} \neq 0 \). Therefore \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) are algebraically independent.

For any Type II code \( C \), there exists some polynomial \( P(X, Y) \) such that
\[ J_C^1 = P(J_{e_8}^1, J_{g_{24}}^1). \]

By Theorem 5.1, we have
\[ J_C^1 = P(I_0 + I_1, I_0^3 + I_2) \]
\[ = \tilde{P}(I_0, I_1, I_2), \]
for some polynomial \( \tilde{P}(X, Y, Z) \). Again using Theorem 5.1, we have
\[ W_C^1 = \tilde{P}(I_0, I_1, I_2) - W_{e_8}^0 \in \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_2], \]
therefore we have 
\[ \mathfrak{W}^1 = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_2]. \]

Because of the relation

\[
I_2^2 = -115273125 I_0^4 I_1^2 - 29552562 I_0^3 I_1^3 - 834555 I_0^2 I_1^4 - 1518 I_0 I_1^5 - I_1^6 \\
+ (29767500 I_0^3 + 718878 I_0^2 I_1 + 3282 I_0 I_1^2 + 2 I_1^3) I_2,
\]

we have 
\[ \mathfrak{W}^1 = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1] + \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1] I_2. \]

We assume

\[(22) \sum_{s_i + s_j = \ell} \alpha_{ij} I_0^{s_i} I_1^{s_j} + \left( \sum_{s_i + s_j = \ell-24} \beta_{ij} I_0^{s_i} I_1^{s_j} \right) I_2 = 0.\]

Dividing both sides by some power of \( I_0 \), we may assume that at least one of \( \alpha_{0,\ell}/s \) and \( \beta_{0,(\ell-24)}/s \) is not zero, but this is impossible because the coefficient of \( y^\ell \) must satisfy

\[ \alpha_{0,\ell}/s + \beta_{0,(\ell-24)}/s = 0. \]

Therefore we have 
\[ \mathfrak{W}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1] \oplus \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1] I_2, \]

which completes the proof of (ii). \( \square \)

**Corollary 6.2** We obtain

\[
\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \dim \mathfrak{W}^{(0)}_\ell y^\ell = \frac{1}{1 - t^8},
\]

\[
\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \dim \mathfrak{W}^{(1)}_\ell y^\ell = \frac{1 + t^{24}}{(1 - t^8)^2}.
\]

We shall recall some definitions. Let \( R \) be a graded \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra of dimension \( n \), where \( n \) is the maximal number of elements of \( R \) which are algebraically independent over \( \mathbb{C} \). A set \( \{ \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \} \) of homogeneous elements of positive degree in \( R \) is said to be a homogeneous system of parameters if \( R \) is finitely generated as a module over \( \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \). If \( R \) is a finitely generated free module over \( \mathbb{C}[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \), then \( R \) is said to be Cohen-Macaulay. We are now able to state the next corollary.

**Corollary 6.3** The graded rings \( \mathfrak{W}^{(g)} \) for \( g = 0, 1 \) are Cohen-Macaulay.
Remark. The decomposition given in the above theorem is not unique. In fact, we have

\[
\mathcal{W}^{(0)} = \mathbb{C}[I_0^2] (1 \oplus I_0),
\]
\[
\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \dim \mathcal{W}^{(0)}_\ell t^\ell = \frac{1 + t^8}{1 - t^{16}},
\]
\[
\mathcal{W}^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_2] (1 \oplus I_1 \oplus I_1^2 \oplus I_1^3 \oplus I_1^4 \oplus I_1^5),
\]
\[
\sum_{\ell \geq 0} \dim \mathcal{W}^{(1)}_\ell t^\ell = \frac{1 + t^8 + t^{16} + t^{24} + t^{32} + t^{40}}{(1 - t^8)(1 - t^{24})}.
\]

The details are omitted.

6.2 The graded ring for \( g = 2 \)

The homogeneous polynomials \( I_0, I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are the same as the previous section. Moreover we put

\[
I_3 = W^2_{e_8} = 28x^2y^6 + 7y^8,
\]
\[
I_4 = W^2_{g_{24}} = 35420x^{12}y^{12} + 170016x^{10}y^{14} + 648945x^8y^{16} + 1020096x^6y^{18} + 743820x^4y^{20} + 170016x^2y^{22} + 5842y^{24},
\]

and \( I_5 = W^2_{d^2_{24}}, I_6 = W^2_{d^2_{36}}, I_7 = W^2_{d^2_{48}}, \) where \( d^r_n \) denotes the code of length \( n \) whose generator matrix is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
& & & & & & & \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Lemma 6.4 (i) For \( \ell = 8, 16, \) the homogeneous polynomials

\[
I_i^1 I_j^1 I_3^k \ (0 \leq i, j, k \leq \ell/8, 8i + 8j + 8k = \ell),
\]

are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{C}. \)

(ii) For \( \ell \geq 24, \) the homogeneous polynomials

\[
I_i^1 I_j^1 I_3^k \ (0 \leq i, j \leq \ell/8, 0 \leq k \leq 3, \ 8i + 8j + 8k = \ell),
\]
\[
I_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_2, \ I_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_4
\]

are linearly independent over \( \mathbb{C}. \)
Proof. We can show the case \( \ell = 8, 16 \) by direct computation. We assume \( \ell \geq 24 \) and put
\[
\sum_{0 \leq i, j, k \leq \ell, 0 \leq k \leq 3} \alpha_{i,j,k} I_1^i I_2^j I_3^k + \beta I_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_2 + \gamma I_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_4 = 0.
\]

First Step: for \( \ell \geq 24 \), we have
\[
\alpha_{\ell, s, 0, 0} = \alpha_{\ell, s-1, 1, 0} = \alpha_{\ell, s-1, 0, 1} = \alpha_{\ell, s-2, 1, 1} = 0.
\]

Second Step: for \( \ell \geq 32 \), we have
\[
\alpha_{i, \ell, s-i, 0} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-1, 1} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-2, 2} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-3, 3} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \ (0 \leq i \leq \frac{\ell}{8} - 4).
\]

Third Step: for \( \ell \geq 24 \), we have
\[
\alpha_{\ell, s-2, 2, 0} = \alpha_{\ell, s-2, 0, 2} = \alpha_{\ell, s-3, 3, 0} = \alpha_{\ell, s-3, 2, 1} = \alpha_{\ell, s-3, 1, 2} = \alpha_{\ell, s-3, 0, 3} = \beta = \gamma = 0.
\]

Proof of the First Step: looking at the coefficients of the monomials \( x^\ell, x^{\ell-4}y^4, x^{\ell-6}y^6, x^{\ell-10}y^{10} \), we have
\[
\alpha_{\ell, s, 0, 0} = 14\alpha_{\ell, s-1, 1, 0} = 28\alpha_{\ell, s-1, 0, 1} = 14 \cdot 28\alpha_{\ell, s-2, 1, 1} = 0.
\]

Proof of the Second Step: by induction on \( i \). For \( i = 0 \), looking at the coefficients of the monomials \( y^\ell, x^2y^{\ell-2}, x^4y^{\ell-4}, x^6y^{\ell-6} \), the matrix of the coefficients of \( \alpha_{0, \ell, s, 0}, \alpha_{0, \ell, s-1, 1}, \alpha_{0, \ell, s-2, 2}, \alpha_{0, \ell, s-3, 3} \) is given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 7 & 7^2 & 7^3 \\
0 & {t/8} & 28 & 3 \cdot \frac{t}{8} \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 282 \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 328 \cdot \frac{t}{8} \\
0 & {t/8 - 1} & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 282 \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & \frac{t}{8} \\
0 & {t/8 - 1} & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{0, \ell, s, 0} & \alpha_{0, \ell, s-1, 1} & \alpha_{0, \ell, s-2, 2} & \alpha_{0, \ell, s-3, 3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The determinant of this matrix is 481890304 and this matrix has an inverse. Therefore we have
\[
\alpha_{0, \ell, s, 0} = \alpha_{0, \ell, s-1, 1} = \alpha_{0, \ell, s-2, 2} = \alpha_{0, \ell, s-3, 3} = 0.
\]

We assume the validity for less than \( i \). Looking at the coefficients of the monomials \( x^8i y^{8-i}, x^{8+i}y^{8-i-2}, x^{8+i+4}y^{8-i-4}, x^{8+i+6}y^{8-i-6} \), we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 7 & 7^2 & 7^3 \\
0 & {t/8 - 1} & 28 & 3 \cdot \frac{t}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 282 \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 328 \cdot \frac{t}{8} \cdot \frac{7}{8} \\
0 & {t/8 - 1} & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & 282 \\
(t/8 - 1) & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} & \frac{t}{8} \\
0 & {t/8 - 1} & {t/8 - 2} & {t/8 - 3} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\alpha_{i, \ell, s-i, 0} & \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-1, 1} & \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-2, 2} & \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-3, 3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

whose determinant is also 481890304. So we have
\[
\alpha_{i, \ell, s-i, 0} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-1, 1} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-2, 2} = \alpha_{i, \ell, s-i-3, 3} = 0.
\]

This completes the induction.
Proof of the Third Step: looking at the coefficients of the monomials \( x^{\ell-8}y^8, \ x^{\ell-12}y^{12}, \ x^{\ell-14}y^{14}, \ x^{\ell-16}y^{16}, \ x^{\ell-18}y^{18}, \ x^{\ell-20}y^{20}, \ x^{\ell-22}y^{22}, \ x^{\ell-24}y^{24}, \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
142 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 750 & 0 \\
2 \cdot 14 & 28^2 & 14^3 & 0 & 0 & 2576 & 30420 \\
0 & 2 \cdot 28 \cdot 7 & 0 & 14^2 \cdot 28 & 0 & 0 & 170016 \\
1 & 7^2 & 3 \cdot 14^2 & 14^2 \cdot 7 & 14 \cdot 28^2 & 28^3 & 759 & 648945 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 14 \cdot 2 \cdot 28 \cdot 7 & 28^3 & 0 & 10260096 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 14 \cdot 2 \cdot 7 & 3^2 \cdot 28^2 \cdot 7 & 0 & 743820 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 2 \cdot 28 \cdot 7 & 3 \cdot 28^2 \cdot 7 & 0 & 170016 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7842 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

whose determinant is 302155835146208951664640, which implies

\[
\alpha_{\ell/8-2,2,0} = \alpha_{\ell/8-2,0,2} = \alpha_{\ell/8-3,3,0} = \alpha_{\ell/8-3,2,1} = \alpha_{\ell/8-3,1,2} = \alpha_{\ell/8-3,0,3} = \beta = \gamma = 0.
\]

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.4. \[\square\]

We put

\[
V(\ell) = \left\{ \bigoplus_{0 \leq i,j,k \leq \ell/8} CI_0^i I_1^j I_3^k \right\}_{\ell = 0, 8, 16} \quad \bigoplus_{\ell = 24, \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{8}} CI_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_2 \oplus CI_0^{(\ell-24)/8} I_4 \quad \ell \geq 24
\]

and put \( V = \bigoplus_{\ell \geq 0} V(\ell) \).

**Lemma 6.5** \( I_0, I_1 I_2, I_1 I_4, I_3 I_2, I_3 I_4, I_6, I_7, I_2^2, I_2 I_4, I_4^2 \in V \).

**Proof.** By direct computation using Magma. The explicit relations can be found at [7]. \[\square\]

**Theorem 6.6** We get \( \mathfrak{W}(2) = V \).

**Proof.** By a Theorem of Duke [3], for any Type II code \( C \), we have

\[
J_C^2 = P_1(J_{d_8}^2, J_{d_4}^2, J_{d_8}^2 J_{d_4}^2) + P_2(J_{d_8}^2 J_{d_4}^2 J_{d_8}^2 J_{d_4}^2 J_{d_4}^2)
\]

for some polynomials \( P_1(X,Y,Z,W), \ P_2(X,Y,Z,W) \). By Theorems 1 and 5, we have

\[
W_C^2 \in \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_6, I_7],
\]

or

\[
\mathfrak{W}(2) = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4, I_6, I_7].
\]

By the explicit relations given in Lemma 6.5, we have

\[
\mathfrak{W}(2) = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4].
\]
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In order to prove Theorem 6.6, it is enough to show that the elements $I_0^* I_1^* I_2^* I_4^*$ are the elements of $V$, where $*$ denotes any non-negative integer. By the explicit relations given in Lemma 6.5, we know that $I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_2^* I_4^*$ can be written as the sum of the elements

\[ I_0^* I_1^* I_3^*, I_0^* I_1^* I_2^*, I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_4^*. \]

Again from Lemma 6.5 we know that $I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_2, I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_4$ can be written as the sum of the elements

\[ I_0^* I_1^* I_3^*, I_0^* I_1^* I_2^*, I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_4. \]

Again from Lemma 6.5 we know that $I_0^* I_1^* I_2, I_0^* I_1^* I_4$ can be written as the sum of the elements

\[ I_0^* I_1^* I_3^*, I_0^* I_2, I_0^* I_4. \]

The elements $I_0^* I_1^* I_3^*$ are contained in $V$ because of the equality

\[ \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1, I_3] = \mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1](1 \oplus I_3 \oplus I_3^2 \oplus I_3^3). \]

Therefore we have shown that any elements of the form $I_0^* I_1^* I_3^* I_2^* I_4^*$ are contained in $V$, and this completes the proof of Theorem 6.6.

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 6.7** We get

\[ \dim \mathcal{W}^{(2)}_\ell = \begin{cases} 
1 & \ell = 0, \\
3\ell/8 & \ell = 8, 16, \\
\ell/2 & \ell \geq 24.
\end{cases} \]

**Corollary 6.8** The graded ring $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay.

**Proof.** Assume that $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. From Theorem 6.6, $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1]$-module, for example, take $1, I_3, I_3^2, I_3^3, I_2, I_4$ as a set of generators. This implies that a set \{I_0, I_1\} is a homogeneous system of parameters (See [10]). Theorem 2.3.1 in [10] says that the ring $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ must be a finitely generated free $\mathbb{C}[I_0, I_1]$-module. Then the dimension formula of $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}$ must be in the form

\[ \frac{f(t)}{(1-t^8)^2}, \quad f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}[t], \]

but this is impossible since Theorem 6.6 (and Corollary 6.7) gives the equality

\[ \frac{f(t)}{(1-t^8)^2} = \frac{1 + t^8 + t^{16} + t^{24}}{(1-t^8)^2} + \frac{t^{24}}{1-t^8} + \frac{t^{24}}{1-t^8}, \]

that is

\[ f(t) = 1 + t^8 + t^{16} + 3t^{24} - 2t^{32}. \]

This completes the proof of Corollary 6.8.

**Acknowledgment.** The third author wishes to thank Professor K. Yokoyama for interesting discussions on the paper.
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Table 3: Binary Self-Dual Codes with $n \leq 26$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>$d_I$</th>
<th>$d_{II}$</th>
<th>$d_2$</th>
<th>$d_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^3$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^4$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>$e_8$</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^5$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$e_8\tilde{t}_2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$\tilde{t}_2^6e_8$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>$d_{12}^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>$e_7^{2+}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$d_8^{2+}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$d_{16}^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>$e_8^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$d_6^{3+}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>$(d_{10}e_7f_1)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$d_{20}^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$(d_{12}e_8)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$(d_{12}d_8)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$(d_8^2d_4)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$(e_7^2d_6)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$(d_6^3f_2)^+$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$d_4^{5+}$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>$g_{22}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$g_{24}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>$h_{24}^+$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>$f_{13}^2$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Binary Self-Dual Codes with $28 \leq n \leq 32$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>$d_I$</th>
<th>$d_{II}$</th>
<th>$d_2$</th>
<th>$d_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$A_{28}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$B_{28}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>$C_{28}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$A_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$B_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$C_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$D_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$E_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$F_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$G_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$H_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$I_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$J_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>$K_{30}$</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$C_{81}(q_{32})$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$C_{82}(r_{32})$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$C_{83}(g_{16}^{2+})$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$C_{84}(f_{4}^{8+})$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$C_{85}(f_{2}^{16+})$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$g_{16}^{2+} - I$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$f_{4}^{8+} - I$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>$r_{32} - I$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Higher Weight Enumerators for the $[24, 12, 8]$ Golay Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W^1$</th>
<th>$W^2$</th>
<th>$W^3$</th>
<th>$W^4$</th>
<th>weight $s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>756</td>
<td>2576</td>
<td>35420</td>
<td>91080</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>170016</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>560120</td>
<td>12144</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>759</td>
<td>648945</td>
<td>1939245</td>
<td>648945</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6800640</td>
<td>5100480</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1020096</td>
<td>19120800</td>
<td>32728080</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41483904</td>
<td>16065120</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>743829</td>
<td>73744440</td>
<td>61384278</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>97475840</td>
<td>1766466240</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170016</td>
<td>93721320</td>
<td>362759400</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>56785344</td>
<td>479378160</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5842</td>
<td>16610462</td>
<td>2964543180</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6: Higher Weight Enumerators for the [24, 12, 8] Golay Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W^6$</th>
<th>$W^7$</th>
<th>$W^8$</th>
<th>weight $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>750</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34032</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6078772</td>
<td>134596</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69706560</td>
<td>4590432</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580710900</td>
<td>89736570</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3545513664</td>
<td>1187449329</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15228970780</td>
<td>10684676772</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41367370176</td>
<td>59857703136</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53639338872</td>
<td>158859111499</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Higher Weight Enumerators for the [24, 12, 8] Golay Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$W^9$</th>
<th>$W^{10}$</th>
<th>$W^{11}$</th>
<th>$W^{12}$</th>
<th>weight $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>276276</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16194024</td>
<td>48570</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391873471</td>
<td>2745303</td>
<td>4071</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8: The Genus 12 Weight Enumerator for a the [24, 12, 8] Golay Code

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>coefficient of $y^i$</th>
<th>weight $i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3108105</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>593824369320</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>625128987783689</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>344466641761835520</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050587410792264700355</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3905012887026630489600</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63284842299967754321742080</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>818341578256851211988997411840</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>837777240517422043317084461495640</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65346624755610831023343567871027200</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>364041493237608612477185876631883214080</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12936666215721801462659565640177682647040</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221704429805753237468520780606521975856155955</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>